

PATENT Expedited Procedure After Final Response Under 37 CFR 1.116

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY

The information in this transmission is confidential and may be privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Dissemination, reproduction or any other use of this information by any person or entity other than the named recipient is strictly prohibited and is a violation of law. If the information in this transmission is erroneously transmitted to or received by any person or entity other than the named recipient, please contact the undersigned immediately by collect telephone call to arrange for return of the information at no cost to you.

Certificate of Facsimile Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to 703-872-9306 on 13 JUNE 2005 addressed to: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR RATENTS, ALEXANDERIA, VA 22313.

Roland K. Bowler II

Applicant:	KOTZIN)				
Appl. No.	09/909,206)	Examiner W. Daniel			
Confirm. No.	9769)	Art Unit 2686			
Filed:	20 July 2001)	Atty. Docket No. CS10423			

Title:

"Methods for Mobile Communication Services Selection"

TRANSMITTAL FOR RESPONSE TO NON-COMPLIANT BRIEF UNDER 37 CFR 41.37(c)

RECEIVED OIPE/IAP

JUN 1 4 2005

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner W. Daniel Art Unit 2686

Alexandria, VA 22313

Sir:

pages);

The following is enclosed in response to the Notification of non-compliance Appeal Brief mailed of 3 June 2005:

[X] Revised Appeal Brief under 37 CFR 41.37(c) (21

[X] Fee Calculation Sheet (NO FEE DUE).

Respectfully submitted,

ROLAND K. BOWLER II

13 JUNE 2005

MOTOROLA, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT. (RKB) 600 NORTH U.S. HIGHWAY 45, AN475 LIBERTYVILLE, ILLINOIS 60048

REG. No. 33,477

TELEPHONE NO. (847) 523-3978 FACSIMILE NO. (847) 523-2350

		FEE			Comp	olete if i	Known			
1		FEE			Applic	ation N	umber		09/909,206	
		ANSMITTAL	•		Filing	Date			20 July 2001	
l	Patent lees a	are subject to annual	revision		First N	Named I	nventor		KOTZIN	
Applic	ant claims small entity sta	tus. See 37 CFR 1.2	7		Fxam	iner Nar	ne		W. Daniel	
					_	Art Uni			2686	
·	TOTAL A	AMOUNT OF PAYMENT	(\$) 0.00		<u> </u>					
		• •	11/		Attorn	ey Doc			CS10423	
	METHODOF	PAYMENT (checi	all that apply)				FE	E ÇA	LCULATION (continued)	
Check	Credit card M	loney Order	Other None		4. ADI	DITIONA				
X Doposit	Account:				1	Entity		Small Emily		
	Deposit Account Number		502117		Fea	Fee	Fog	Fee		
	Deposit Account Name	MOT	OROLA INC.		Code	(5)	Code	(\$)	Fee Description	
	tor is authorized to: (check.		_		1051	130	2051	65	Surcharge - Line filing fee or eath	
X Cha	rge fee(s) indicated below		Credit any overpayments		1052 1053	50 130	2052 1063	25 130	Surchargo - late Provisional filing	
X Cha	igo any additional fee(s) or u	nderpayments of fcc(s)	under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17		1812	2520	1812	2520	Non-English specification For fiting a request for ex parte	
	nargo feos(s) indicated below	. except for the filling t	iee to tho		1804	920°	1804	920*	Reexamination	
	ove-identified deposit account				1805	1840	1805	1840*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action Requesting publication of SIR after	
	63.63	1: 1: 42 2:11 477	0.81		1251	120	2251	60	Examiner action	
}	r E	E CALCULATI	UN		1252	450	2252	225	Extension for reply within first manth	
		···			1253	1020	2253	510	Extension for reply within second regular Extension for reply within third month	\vdash
1. BASIC FILIN	G, SEARCH, AND EXAMI				1254	1590	2254	795	Extension for roply within locath month	
	FILING FEES	SEARCH FEES	EXAMINATION FEES		1255	2160	2255	1080	Exponsion for reply within fifth month	<u> </u>
Application Type	Large Small Entity	Large Small Enti	,	2	1401	500	2401	250	Notice of Appeal	
Utility	Fee (\$) Fen (\$) 300 150	Foo (\$) Fee(\$) 500 250	Fee(\$) Fee(\$) Fee	Paid (\$)	1402	500 1000	2402 2403	250 500	Filing a brief in support of an oppual	
Design	200 100	100 50	130 65						Request for oral héaring	
Plant	200 100	300 150	160 80		1451 1452	151 Q 500	1451 2452	1510 250	Pélition to institute a public use proceeding Potition to revive — utravoidable	-
Reissuo	300 150	500 250	600 300		1453	1500	2453	750	Petition to revive - unintentional	
Provisional	200 100	0 0	0		1501	1400	2501	700	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
	L				1502 1503	800 1100	2502 2503	400 550	Design issue tee	
					1460	130	1460	130	Plant issue fee Petitions to the Commissioner	
1 EVTDA CLA	M EEEO		Focs (S) 6mail	COL	1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1 17(q)	
2. EXTRA CLAI			Peg		1806	180	1806	180	Submission of IDS	
	r, for Ralssves, each claim over 21 m over 3 or, for Relssues, each inde			. 1	8021	40	8021	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (limes number of properties)	
Multiple Dependent Ct	Estra Christa	 ,	360 180	_	1800	790	2809	395	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
- 20 or	нР = <u> </u>	50 Free Paid (F# (5) (arris 'a45 (\$)	1810	790	2810	395	For each additional invantors to be	
	of total claims paid for, if greater then		380		1801	790	2801	385	examined (37 GFR § 1 129(b)) Request for Continued Exemination	
Indep. Claims	Extra Claims	д 200	Fee Paid (\$)	ŀ	1802	900	1802	900	Request for expedited examination	
		* 20		ſ					of a design application	<u> </u>
3. APPLICATION		names the annication stre	tee is \$250 (\$125 for small entity)							
	O sheets or fraction thereof. See 3	35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 3							Fed Paid (\$)	
Total Shoets		umber of each additional O or traction thereof	Fee (\$) Fee Part (\$)							
- 100 = 50 a (round up to a whole number) x 250 =			5. OTHER FEE(S) (specify)							
									small ontily discount)	
			•	L					Fee Paid (S)	
SUBMITTED BY	/ POLA	ND KLBOWLERI	\							
Name (Font/Type)	HULAI	IN UTBOALEHII	\		Registra	tion No		1 24	1477	14 con
Traine (Francispe)	1 1 ~	· · · · · · ·		$\overline{}$		110.		133	1,477 Tolophone 84	
Signature			~ ·	1	Date				6-13-200	5



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	KUIZIN)	
Appl. No.	09/909,206)	Examiner W. Daniel
Confirm. No.	9769))	Art Unit 2686
Filed:	20 July 2001)	Atty. Docket No. CS10423
Title:	"Methods for Mob	ile Comm	unication Services Selection"

REVISED APPEAL BRIEF UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c)

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313

Sir:

Real Party In Interest

The real party in interest is Motorola Inc., by virtue of an assignment duly executed by the named inventor(s) and recorded in the Patent Office on 19 July 2001.

Related Appeals & Interferences

There are no related appeals or interferences.

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

Status of Claims

The appealed claims are Claims 1-13 and 15-20. Claim 14 has been canceled. A copy of the claims is appended in Appendix A.

Status of Amendments

An amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 was filed on 14 February 2005. An advisory action mailed on 10 March 2005 indicated that Applicant's after final amendment would not be entered, though the Examiner of record indicated later that a supplemental advisory action would be mailed confirming entry of the amendment.

Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

In one claimed embodiment, a mobile wireless communication device, page 2, lines 23-27, dynamically selects communication services from a plurality of service providers capable of providing communication services to the mobile wireless communication device by establishing communication objectives at the device for corresponding communications to be executed by the device, page 3, lines 12-16 and page 3, line 25 – page 5, line 3. The device selects one of the services for each communication to be executed by the device based on the corresponding established communication objectives, page 3, lines 17-24, and utilizes the selected communication service at least for the communication whose communication objective formed the basis upon which the communication service was selected, page 8, lines 11-14.

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

In some embodiments, communication services are generally assessed relative to the communication objective. Assessment may occur by comparing service information received from the corresponding service providers at the device, for example, by determining which service optimally satisfies the specified characteristics of the communication to be executed. Page 6, lines 4-13 and page 7, lines 9-12. In one embodiment, a service is selected having service characteristics that most closely correlate with the weighted characteristics of the communication to be execute, page 7, lines 20-26. In one claimed embodiment, service information received from the service providers is stored at the device and updated, page 6, lines 16-18. In one claimed embodiment, a communication service is selected having service characteristics that most closely correlate with the weighted characteristics of the communication to be executed, page 7, lines 1-26. The claimed invention is most readily implemented in software on the device, page 4, lines 3-14 and page 5, lines 14-21. These and other aspects of the claimed subject matter is discussed more fully in the instant specification on page 2, line 18 through page 15, line 13 and is illustrated in FIGS. 1-5.

Grounds of Rejection for Review on Appeal

Claims 1-13 and 15-20 anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,516,192 (Spaur) under 35 USC 102(b).

Arguments

Summary of Examiner's Allegations

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

Claims 1-13 and 15-20 stand finally rejected under 35 USC 102(b) for anticipation by U.S. Patent No. 6,516,192 (Spaur). Final Office Action, 3 November 2004, para. 3.

Discussion of Claim 1

Contrary to the Examiner's assertion, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest a

... method in a mobile wireless communication device for dynamically selecting communication services from a plurality of service providers capable of providing communication services to the mobile wireless communication device, comprising:

establishing communication objectives at the device for corresponding communications to be executed by the device;

selecting one of the communication services for each communication to be executed by the device based on the corresponding established communication objectives;

utilizing the selected communication service at least for the communication whose communication objective formed the basis upon which the communication service was selected.

The communication system (10) of Spaur is not a "... mobile wireless communication device for dynamically selecting communication services from a plurality of service providers capable of providing communication services to the mobile wireless communication device" The communication system (10) of Spaur is a network infrastructure entity that sends data to a remote station. The Examiner's references to various passages of Spaur do not support the rejection. At col. 5: 40 – col. 6: 19, Spaur discusses the architecture of the communication system (10). At col. 6: 52-67, Spauer

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm, No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

discusses bi-direction communications between the system (10) and a remote station using a spread spectrum downlink and a cellular digital packet data (CDPD) uplink. At col. 13: 13 & 49-51, Spaur discusses the communication system (10) identifying the availability of channels based on the location of a mobile station. At col. 10: 41-61, Spaur discusses channel selection of the link selector (64) of the system (10). In Spauer, the communication system (10) selects the optimum network channel for a particular communication with a mobile device based on an analysis of application requirements or constraints that must be met by the network. Thus Spaur is about the network making decisions for the mobile device. Claim 1 is about the mobile device making decisions for the mobile device. Claim 1 is thus patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Discussion of Claim 2

Regarding Claim 2, contrary to the Examiner's assertion, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest, in combination with Claim 1,

... assessing the plurality of communication services relative to the communication objective for each communication before selecting one of the communication services.

In Spaur, the communication system (10) selects the optimum network channel for a particular communication with a mobile device based on an analysis of application requirements or constraints that must be met by the network. Claim 2 is thus further patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

Discussion of Claim 3

Regarding Claim 3, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest, in combination with Claim 1,

> ... assessing a plurality of communication services relative to the communication objective for each communication during a communication.

In Spaur, the communication system (10) selects the optimum network channel for a particular communication with a mobile device based on an analysis of application requirements or constraints that must be met by the network. Claim 3 is thus further patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Discussion of Claim 4

Regarding Claim 4, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest, in combination with Claim 1,

> ... receiving service information from the plurality of service providers at the device, assessing the communication services by the service information received from corresponding service providers at the device.

Spaur does not receive service information from the provider at the wireless unit. In Spaur, the channel selection decision is made by the link selector (64) at the communication system (10) (network) based on the application requirements and information in the communication link database (54), which KOTZIN
"Methods for Mobile Communication
Services Selection"

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

is also part of the communication system (10). Claim 4 is thus further patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Discussion of Claim 5

Atty. Docket No. CS10423

Regarding Claim 5, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest, in combination with Claim 4,

... querying the plurality of service providers for service information before receiving the service information.

There is no disclosure in Spaur that the communication system (10) or the mobile unit queries service providers for service information. Claim 5 is thus further patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Discussion of Claim 6

Regarding Claim 6, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest, in combination with Claim 4.

... storing service information received from the service providers at the device, updating service information at the device.

There is no disclosure in Spaur that the mobile unit stores and updates service information. Claim 6 is thus further patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Discussion of Claim 7

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

Regarding Claim 7, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest, in combination with Claim 1,

18475232350

... establishing a communication objective by specifying whether a communication to be executed by the device is a data communication or a voice communication.

Spaur merely obtains application bandwidth, security, packet loss, and jitter requirements from the application requirements database (64). Spaur, nevertheless, performs the analysis at the communication system (network), not at the mobile wireless communications device. Claim 7 is thus further patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Discussion of Claim 8

Regarding Claim 8, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest, in combination with Claim 1,

> ... establishing communication objectives by specifying at least one characteristic of a communication to be executed.

Spaur performs the channel analysis and selection at the network, not at the mobile wireless communications device. Claim 8 is thus further patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Discussion of Claim 9

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

Regarding Claim 9, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest, in combination with Claim 8,

... assessing communication services by determining which communication service optimally satisfies the specified characteristics of the communication to be executed.

Spaur performs the channel analysis and selection at the network, not at the mobile wireless communications device. Claim 9 is thus further patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Discussion of Claim 10

Regarding Claim 10, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest, in combination with Claim 1,

... establishing communication objectives by weighting at least one characteristic for each communication to be executed.

Spaur performs the channel analysis and selection at the network, not at the mobile wireless communications device. Claim 10 is thus further patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Discussion of Claim 11

Regarding Claim 11, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest, in combination with Claim 10,

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

... assessing the communication services by comparing the weighted characteristics of each communication to be executed to corresponding each service characteristics of communication services.

Spaur performs the channel analysis and selection at the network, not at the mobile wireless communications device. Claim 11 is thus further patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Discussion of Claim 12

Regarding Claim 12, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest, in combination with Claim 11,

> selecting a communication service having characteristics that most closely correlate with the weighted characteristics of the communication to be executed.

Spaur performs the channel analysis and selection at the network, not at the mobile wireless communications device. Claim 12 is thus further patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Discussion of Claim 13

Regarding independent Claim 13, contrary to the Examiner's assertion, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest a

> ...method in a mobile wireless communication device for selecting communication services available to the mobile wireless communication device, comprising:

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

establishing a communication objective at the device by identifying a characteristic of a communication to be executed by the device;

assessing a plurality of communication services based on communication service information received from a plurality of at least two communication service providers, by comparing the identified characteristic of the communication to be executed with a corresponding service characteristic of each of the plurality of communication services;

selecting a communication service from the plurality of communication services having the service characteristic that correlates most closely with the identified characteristic of the communication to be executed by the device.

Spaur does not assess service information at the mobile unit. In Spaur, channel information is obtained from a communication link database (54) in the communication system (10) (network), and a link selector (64) subsequently selects the channel. In Spaur, both the link database and link selector are part of the network, not the mobile unit. Spaur, col. 5, line 32 - col. 6, line 51. Claim 13 is thus patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Discussion of Claim 15

Regarding Claim 15, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest, in combination with Claim 13,

... selecting a communication service before executing the communication, and selecting a different communication service during the communication.

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

Spaur performs the channel analysis and selection at the network, not at the mobile wireless communications device. Claim 15 is thus further patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Discussion of Claim 16

Regarding Claim 16, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest, in combination with Claim 13,

... weighting the one or more identified characteristics of the communication to be executed,

assessing the communication services by comparing the weighted characteristics of the communication to be executed to similarly weighted corresponding characteristics of each of the communication services.

Spaur performs any weighting at the network, not at the mobile unit. Claim 16 is thus further patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Discussion of Claim 17

Regarding independent Claim 17, contrary to the Examiner's assertion, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest,

... mobile wireless communication device, comprising: means for identifying a characteristic of a communication to be executed by the device;

means for assessing service information received from a communication service provider by comparing the identified characteristic of the communication to be executed with

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

corresponding service characteristics of each of a plurality of communication services;

means for selecting a communication service from the communication service provider having the service characteristic that correlates most closely with the identified characteristic of the communication to be executed by the device.

Spaur does not assess service information at the mobile unit. Spaur obtains channel information from the communication link database (54), and selects the channel with a link selector (64). In Spaur, both the link database and link selector are part of the network, not the mobile unit. Spaur, col. 5, line 32 - col. 6, line 51. Claim 17 is thus patentably distinguished over Spaur.

18475232350

Discussion of Claim 18

Regarding Claim 18, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest, in combination with Claim 17,

... means for receiving the service information from the communication service provider at the device.

Spaur does not receive service information at the mobile unit. In Spaur, channel selection occurs in the network. Claim 18 is thus further patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Discussion of Claim 19

Regarding Claim 19, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest, in combination with Claim 17,

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

... means for requesting service information from the communication service provider.

Spaur does not request service information from the mobile unit. Claim 19 is thus further patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Discussion of Claim 20

Regarding Claim 20, Spaur fails to disclose or suggest, in combination with Claim 17,

> ... means for weighting the identified characteristic of the communication to be executed,

> means for comparing the weighted characteristic of the communication to be executed to corresponding service characteristics of the service information.

Spaur does not perform any weighting at the mobile unit. Claim 20 is thus further patentably distinguished over Spaur.

Prayer for Relief

Kindly reverse and vacate the rejections of claims, in view of the discussion above, with instructions for the Examiner to allow said Claims to issue in a United States Patent without further delay and provide other relief warranted.

18475232350

KOTZIN "Methods for Mobile Communication Services Selection" Atty. Docket No. CS10423

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

Respectfully submitted,

ROLAND K. BOWLER II

13 JUNE 2005

REG. No. 33,477

MOTOROLA INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT. (RKB) 600 NORTH U.S. HIGHWAY 45, AN475 LIBERTYVILLE, ILLINOIS 60048

TELEPHONE No. (847) 523-3978 FACSIMILE NO. (847) 523-2350

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm, No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

Claims Appendix

1. (Previously Presented) A method in a mobile wireless communication device for dynamically selecting communication services from a plurality of service providers capable of providing communication services to the mobile wireless communication device, comprising:

establishing communication objectives at the corresponding communications to be executed by the device;

selecting one of the communication services communication to be executed by the device based on the corresponding established communication objectives;

utilizing the selected communication service at least for the communication whose communication objective formed the basis upon which the communication service was selected.

- 2. (Original) The method of Claim 1, assessing the plurality of communication services relative to the communication objective for each communication before selecting one of the communication services.
- 3. (Original) The method of Claim 1, assessing a plurality of communication services relative to the communication objective for each communication during a communication.

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

- 4. (Original) The method of Claim 1, receiving service information from the plurality of service providers at the device, assessing the communication services by comparing the service information received from the corresponding service providers at the device.
- 5. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 4, querying the plurality of service providers for service information before receiving the service information.
- 6. (Original) The method of Claim 4, storing service information received from the service providers at the device, updating service information at the device.
- 7. (Original) The method of Claim 1, establishing a communication objective by specifying whether a communication to be executed by the device is a data communication or a voice communication.
- 8. (Original) The method of Claim 1, establishing communication objectives by specifying at least one characteristic of a communication to be executed.

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

- 9. (Original) The method of Claim 8, assessing communication services by determining which communication service optimally satisfies the specified characteristics of the communication to be executed.
 - 10. (Original) The method of Claim 1, establishing communication objectives by weighting at least one characteristic for each communication to be executed.
 - 11. (Original) The method of Claim 10, assessing the communication services by comparing the weighted characteristics of each communication to be executed to corresponding service characteristics of each of the communication services.
 - 12. (Original) The method of Claim 11, selecting a communication service having service characteristics that most closely correlate with the weighted characteristics of the communication to be executed.
 - 13. (Previously Presented) A method in a mobile wireless communication device for selecting communication services available to the mobile wireless communication device, comprising:

establishing a communication objective at the device by identifying a characteristic of a communication to be executed by the device;

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

TO: USPTO

assessing a plurality of communication services based on communication service information, received from a plurality of at least two communication service providers, by comparing the identified characteristic of the communication to be executed with a corresponding service characteristic of each of the plurality of communication services;

selecting a communication service from the plurality of communication services having the service characteristic that correlates most closely with the identified characteristic of the communication to be executed by the device.

Claim 14 (Canceled).

15. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 13, selecting a communication service before executing the communication, and selecting a different communication service during the communication.

16. (Original) The method of Claim 13,

weighting the one or more identified characteristics of the communication to be executed,

assessing the communication services by comparing the weighted characteristics of the communication to be executed to similarly weighted corresponding characteristics of each of the communication services.

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

17. (Previously Presented) A mobile wireless communication device, comprising:

means for identifying a characteristic of a communication to be executed by the device;

means for assessing service information received from a communication service provider by comparing the identified characteristic of the communication to be executed with corresponding service characteristics of each of a plurality of communication services;

means for selecting a communication service from the communication service provider having the service characteristic that correlates most closely with the identified characteristic of the communication to be executed by the device.

- 18. (Previously Presented) The device of Claim 17, means for receiving the service information from the communication service provider at the device.
- 19. (Previously Presented) The device of Claim 18, means for requesting service information from the communication service provider.
- 20. (Previously Presented) The device of Claim 17,
 means for weighting the identified characteristic of the communication to be executed,

Appl. No. 09/909,206 Confirm. No. 9769 Examiner S. Balban Art Unit 2686

means for comparing the weighted characteristic of the communication to be executed to corresponding service characteristics of the service information.